


*Gun & Davey*

***Covered***



---

Vol 1, Issue 20 – June 2000

---

## **COVERED CASES**

### **Case Snippets**

#### **VUJIC v WORKCOVER** **(ANI BRADKEN)**

**[2000] SAWCT 51**

Acting Deputy President McCouaig is yet another Presidential Member to decide that substantial as opposed to strict compliance with the notice requirements for Section 36 determinations is sufficient (see Gaeta Covered Volume 1, Issue 16). In Vujic -v- WorkCover (ANI Bradken) [2000] SAWCT 51, the Section 36 notice was badly drafted with references to a rehabilitation program instead of a rehabilitation and return to work plan and a number of typographical errors. It was held that despite the obvious deficiencies, the notice had adequately explained the basis on which the compensating authority had discontinued payments and no confusion should have resulted. Therefore the notice was valid.